Received 1 June 2004 Accepted 4 June 2004

Online 12 June 2004

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Ji-Jun Jiang,^a Xing-Qiang Lü,^a Feng Bao,^a Bei-Sheng Kang^a and Seik Weng Ng^b*

^aSchool of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.134 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

4-[(*Z*)-(Benzylamino)phenylmethylene]-5-methyl-2-phenyl-2*H*-pyrazol-3-one

The NH unit on the exocyclic carbon-carbon double bond in the title compound, $C_{24}H_{21}N_3O$, lies on the same side of the double bond as the carbonyl unit of the pyrazolonyl ring, and the two interact through an N-H···O hydrogen bond [2.714 (2) Å].

Comment

This is a study on a compound that is related to 5methyl-2-phenyl-4-[(Z)-(2-tolylamino)phenylmethylene]-2Hpyrazol-3-one (Bao *et al.*, 2004), one of a class of pyrazolones that are readily synthesized by condensing 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4-benzoyl-5-pyrazolone with a primary amine. The present compound (Fig. 1) has the isomeric benzylimino unit in place of the 2-tolylimino unit. No significant differences are found in the principal bond dimensions for the two compounds; the packing is similar, as noted from their calculated densities. The present compound also features an intramolecular hydrogen bond.



Experimental

1-Phenyl-3-methyl-4-benzoyl-5-pyrazolone (2.5 g, 9 mmol) and benzylamine (1 g, 9 mmol) were dissolved in ethanol (25 ml); the solution was heated under reflux for several hours. The solvent was removed and the pure product obtained upon recrystallization from ethanol in 75% yield.

Crystal data	
$C_{24}H_{21}N_{3}O$	$D_x = 1.264 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 367.44$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 872
a = 8.912(1) Å	reflections
b = 20.769 (3) Å	$\theta = 2.5 - 25.9^{\circ}$
c = 10.608 (1) Å	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 79.605 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
V = 1931.2 (4) Å ³	Prism, yellow
Z = 4	$0.50 \times 0.38 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker SMART area-detector	2456 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.032$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.2^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: none	$h = -11 \rightarrow 11$
11972 measured reflections	$k = -26 \rightarrow 23$
4250 independent reflections	$l = -10 \rightarrow 13$

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0631P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$	+ 0.2452P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.135$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 0.99	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
4250 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.16 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
258 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C7	1.247 (2)	C9-C10	1.489 (3)
N1-C7	1.379 (2)	C11-C12	1.478 (2)
N1-N2	1.399 (2)	C18-C19	1.508 (2)
N1-C1	1.412 (2)	C19-C24	1.378 (2)
N2-C9	1.306 (2)	C19-C20	1.386 (2)
N3-C11	1.321 (2)	C20-C21	1.377 (2)
N3-C18	1.450 (2)	C21-C22	1.364 (3)
C7-C8	1.434 (3)	C22-C23	1.369 (3)
C8-C11	1.395 (2)	C23-C24	1.382 (2)
C8-C9	1.428 (2)		
C7-N1-N2	111.6 (1)	C9-C8-C7	105.4 (1)
C7-N1-C1	128.5 (2)	N2-C9-C8	111.7 (2)
N2-N1-C1	119.5 (1)	N2-C9-C10	118.9 (2)
C9-N2-N1	106.5 (1)	C8-C9-C10	129.4 (2)
C11-N3-C18	127.6 (2)	N3-C11-C8	119.2 (2)
C6-C1-N1	121.0 (2)	N3-C11-C12	118.2 (2)
C2-C1-N1	119.3 (2)	C8-C11-C12	122.6 (2)
O1-C7-N1	126.0 (2)	C13-C12-C11	121.3 (2)
O1-C7-C8	129.2 (2)	C17-C12-C11	119.1 (2)
N1-C7-C8	104.9 (2)	N3-C18-C19	114.4 (1)
C11-C8-C9	132.5 (2)	C24-C19-C18	123.5 (2)
C11-C8-C7	122.1 (2)	C20-C19-C18	117.7 (2)

H atoms were placed at calculated positions in the riding model approximation (C–H 0.93 Å for the aromatic H atoms, C–H 0.96 Å for the methyl H atoms and C–H 0.97 Å for the methylene H atoms), with $U_{\rm iso} = 1.2U_{\rm eq}$ (parent atom) for the aromatic and methyl C atoms, and $1.5U_{\rm eq}$ for the methyl C atom. The amino H atom was located and refined.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve



Figure 1

ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of $C_{24}H_{21}N_3O$, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii.

structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPI*;I (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, Sun Yat-Sen University and and the University of Malaya for supporting this work.

References

- Bao, F., Lü, X.-Q., Wu, Q., Kang, B.-S. & Ng, S. W. (2004). Acta Cryst. E60, 0155–0156.
- Bruker (1999). SAINT and SMART. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.